EXHIBIT 353

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1965-35 Filed: 07/23/19 2 of 6. PageID #: 167369 Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review REDACTED

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review

Page 26 Page 28 BY THE WITNESS: 1 1 Q. Is there any approval process that 2 A. It's for all items carried in the 2 you're aware of for that person to raise or lower 3 warehouse, in the Walgreens stores. 3 that number? 4 BY MR. GADDY: 4 A. Not that I am aware of. 5 5 Q. But is it line by line? Is it product Q. In the 2000s when Walgreens began 6 by product that is the -- why don't you explain for 6 distributing controlled substances to its own 7 7 me what you meant when you told me that it's for stores, from your understanding, is the excessive 8 the entire store as opposed to by product? 8 order query just as you've been describing it thus 9 A. Well, the store can order any product. 9 far? 10 10 I mean, we distribute products for most of the A. Yes. 11 11 items in our Walgreens store. So, any ordered item Q. Are you aware of any special practices 12 12 or procedures or approvals required regarding the it would look at irregardless of what type of item 13 it is. It could be paper towels. It could be 13 excessive order query as it related to controlled 14 14 substances in the 2000s? toilet paper, shampoo. It will look at any item we 15 have and if it is greater than the value specified, 15 A. No, I am not. 16 it will appear on the report. 16 Q. And you were the person that helped 17 17 implement this program, correct? Q. So, the distribution center enters in a 18 18 quantity or a number for paper towels, correct? A. That is correct. 19 A. No, they're entering a number just --19 Q. Were you ever asked to make any changes 20 it's not item-specific. It's just a number and if 20 or amendments to the program over the life of it? 21 it's greater than that number, it will display on a 21 A. Not that I remember. 22 22 Q. How often would that excessive query report. So, it's not product-specific. 23 Q. Okay. So, it's one number that's 23 report be run? 24 entered for all products within the store? 24 A. It's really up to the DCs to determine Page 27 Page 29 1 A. Yes. 1 that. It could be daily. It could be -- it's up 2 2 Q. One number whether it's paper towels, to their decision to how many times they would or 3 whether it's toilet paper, whether it's Claritin, 3 when they would run it. 4 or whether it's OxyContin? 4 Q. From your understanding, do orders come into the distribution center from -- from stores 5 5 A. Yes. б Q. And that number is chosen by an 6 pretty much on a daily basis? 7 individual at the distribution center? 7 Yes. A. 8 8 A. Yes. It's chosen by someone at the Q. It would make sense to run the report 9 distribution center. 9 daily? 10 Q. Do you know the position of the person 10 Let me ask it this way: If your goal is 11 at the distribution center that makes that 11 to catch orders that might have been entered in 12 determination? 12 error, which I think is what you told us the 13 13 intention of the report was, it would make sense to A. No, I do not. 14 Q. Have you heard the position of SAIL 14 run it daily so that you don't ship any product 15 coordinator, S-A-I-L coordinator? 15 that wasn't intended to be shipped? 16 Yes. I know SAIL coordinator. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know whether or not the SAIL 17 Q. Are you aware of any policy or procedure 18 coordinator is the person that makes the decision 18 for what is supposed to be done if there are 19 19 that a certain number is going to be entered as the certain orders that populate on that excessive 20 criteria? 20 order query? 21 A. I can't say for sure. 21 MR. LEVINE: Objection; lacks foundation. 22 Q. Regardless of who it is, that person has 22 BY THE WITNESS: 23 discretion to raise or lower that number, correct? 23 A. I'm not -- I'm not sure. I don't know 24 24 That is correct. of any policies personally, no.

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1965-35 Filed: 07/23/19 5 of 6. PageID #: 167372 Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review REDACTED
41 (Pages 158 to 161

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1965-35 Filed: 07/23/19 6 of 6. PageID #: 167373 Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review REDACTED